

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No. : 09/315,796 (Reissue application of U.S. Patent No. 5,630,363, issued May 20, 1997)  
Applicant : Davis, et al.  
Filed : May 20, 1999  
For : Combined Lithographic/Flexographic Printing Apparatus and Process  
Examiner : Joshua D. Zimmerman  
Docket No. : 111667-1000

Mail Stop: AF  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO JULY 9, 2008  
FINAL OFFICE ACTION**

Sir:

Applicants respectfully present this their supplement (“Supplement”) to their original response filed October 29, 2008 (“Original Response”) to the July 9, 2008 final office action (“Final Office Action”), as follows:

**REMARKS**

In its Original Response, Applicants submitted the Declaration of Jerry Williamson under 37 C.F.R. 1.131 (“Williamson Declaration”), along with accompanying remarks, in support of Applicants’ diligence in reducing the claimed invention to practice during the time period “from a date just prior to April 4, 1994 until July 1994.” Diligence during such period appeared to be the only open item in view of the Examiner’s ruling in the Final Office Action that the evidence previously submitted was considered sufficient to establish (i) conception of the claimed invention prior to the *Hartung, et al.* reference, and (ii) the required diligence during the time period from July 1994 until the August 14, 1995 priority date of the present application constituting the constructive reduction to practice of the invention.

However, Applicants inadvertently overlooked the requirement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116(e) that they also provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the Williamson